## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

Chad Evans, Petitioner

v. No. 1:08-cv-105-JD

Warden,
New Hampshire State Prison,
Respondent

## OBJECTION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Chad Evans objects to the Warden's  $\underline{\text{Motion for Summary}}$  Judgment.

In support, Evans states:

- 1. He incorporates by reference all factual assertions and legal arguments in his <u>Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus</u>

  Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254.
- 2. Evans agrees that no evidentiary hearing is necessary to resolve the pending issues and that the Court may enter judgment on the pleadings.
- 3. Evans asserts that the Warden is not entitled to summary judgment as a matter of law. In addition to the arguments in his <a href="Petition">Petition</a>, Evans had filed a <a href="Memorandum of Law">Memorandum of Law</a> to support both this <a href="Objection">Objection</a> and his separately-filed <a href="Cross Motion for Summary">Cross Motion for Summary</a> <a href="Judgment">Judgment</a>.

WHEREFORE, Evans respectfully requests that this Honorable Court deny the Warden's Motion for Summary Judgment.

-2-

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ David M. Rothstein

Βv

David M. Rothstein #5991
Deputy Chief Appellate Defender
Appellate Defender Program
2 White Street
Concord, NH 03301
603) 228-9218

Email: drothstein@piercelaw.edu

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Motion has been emailed this 17th day of March, 2010, to:

Elizabeth C. Woodcock
ASsistant Assistant Attorney General
at: <a href="mailto:Elizabeth.Woodcock@doj.nh.gov">Elizabeth.Woodcock@doj.nh.gov</a>

/s/ David M. Rothstein
David M. Rothstein

DATED: March 17, 2010